

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES
INBOUND COMPETITIVE MULTI-SERVICE AGREEMENTS WITH
FOREIGN POSTAL OPERATORS
CHINA POST GROUP – UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
MULTI-PRODUCT BILATERAL AGREEMENT (MC2010-34)
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

CP2015-136

NOTICE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF FILING ERRATA

(September 4, 2015)

The Postal Service hereby gives notice of filing errata concerning the Certification of Prices filed as Attachment 2 to the Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Functionally Equivalent Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with a Foreign Postal Operator on September 3, 2015. The attached Certification of Prices replaces the prior version, which erroneously identified Hongkong Post instead of China Post Group in the second paragraph.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno
Chief Counsel, Global Business
Corporate and Postal Business Law Section


Keith C. Nusbaum
Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-6687; Fax -0251
September 4, 2015

**Certification of Prices for the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with
China Post Group**

I, Joseph G. Hurley, Acting Manager of Revenue Reporting and Cost Analysis, Finance Department, United States Postal Service, am familiar with the prices for the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with China Post Group. The prices contained in this agreement were established by the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators, issued August 6, 2010 (Governors' Decision No. 10-3)

I hereby certify that the cost coverage for the agreement with China Post Group has been appropriately determined and represents the best available information. The prices are in compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1), (2), and (3). The prices demonstrate that the agreement should cover its attributable costs and preclude the subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products. In Fiscal Year 2014, all international competitive mail accounted for a relatively small percentage of the total contribution by all competitive products. Contribution from this agreement should be much smaller. The agreement with China Post Group should not impair the ability of competitive products on the whole to cover an appropriate share of institutional costs.



Joseph G. Hurley

9-4-15

Date